

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/96129/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Dimitriadis, Stavros ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0000-5392> 2016.
Identification of infants at high familiar risk for language-learning disorders (LLD) by combining machine learning techniques with EEG-based brain network metrics [Editorial]. *Clinical Neurophysiology* 127 (7) , pp. 2692-2694. 10.1016/j.clinph.2016.04.016 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.04.016>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2016.04.016>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



Identification of Infants at High Familiar Risk for Language-Learning Disorders (LLD) by Combining Machine Learning Techniques with EEG-based Brain Network Metrics

The population of children with language-learning disorders (LLD) is heterogeneous with a mixture of language deficits and also sensorimotor deficits linked to dynamic processing of the speech information (Catts et al., 2002). The core of research focused mainly on the hypothesis of whether deficits on auditory spectro-temporal processing can cause phonological impairment that potentially can lead to reading and language disorders (Bishop and Snowling, 2004). To answer the aforementioned questions, neuroscientists performed longitudinal studies of infants at genetic risk using neuroimaging methods and experimental protocols with main scope to understand the effects of auditory information to the development of language skills (Leppanen et al., 2002 ; Lyytinen et al., 2004). An accurate understanding of the origin of LLD especially infants with or without high genetic risk will give an advantage for intervention strategies on individual level (for a review see Tallal and Gaab, 2006).

The major outcome of many epidemiological studies is that the most prominent feature of developmental disabilities are language learning problems (Beitchman et al., 1986). Although many studies support that the main deficit of LLD is phonological impairment (Snow et al., 1998), the precise origin of this disorder is still on debate. The major substrates of phonological deficits are linked to speech or to general domains like memory, attention, perception and sensorimotor constraints (Mody et al., 1997 ; Ramus et al., 2003). A consistent result of various hypotheses that

have been tested so far is that the speed of auditory information processing and/or its production disrupt core components that contribute to language learning such as the phonological representations (Farmer and Klein, 1995 ; Fitch and Tallal, 2003 ; Tallal, 2004). Two prominent hypothesis have been proposed after investigating sensorimotor deficits, the rate-processing constraint hypothesis (Farmer and Klein, 1995 ; Fitch and Tallal, 2003 ; Tallal, 2004) and the magnocellular hypothesis-theory (Livingstone et al., 1991 ; Stein et al., 2001). Consistent attributes of both hypotheses are the constraint of the temporal information processing of the speech and its production which both disrupt basic components of language learning like the acquisition of phonological representations. Both theories suggest that central auditory mechanisms of information processing particularly those involved in the dynamic spectro-temporal changes underline the major phonological deficits in LLD.

Tallal et al. proposed a link between the ability to analyse rapid spectro-temporal acoustic changes and the production of speech (Tallal et al., 2004). This hypothesis is based on the assumption that every language is characterized by a set of unique phonemes which composed of a complex acoustic spectrum that should be learned by daily practise and overrepresented in the auditory cortex as neural firing patterns (Kuhl et al., 1992). According to Hebb's proposal, neurons that are excited by many sensory cues that cannot be distinguished in time domain are coded as a unit, guiding individual experience and learning (Hebb, 1949). Further exposure generally to sensory input and specifically to the waveforms of speech will lead the cell assemblies to become more generalized and to decode individual syllables and phonemes of a language independently of the speaker or the context (Clark and Yallop, 1995). Additionally, the spectro-temporal segmentation of the ongoing speech into words and syllables will also be coded by auditory cortex

(Peeva et al., 2011). This statistical learning from the brain auditory cortex is referred as Hebbian learning in the literature (Sejnowski, 1999 ; Rao and Sejnowski, 2003).

The complex ability to recognize distinct changes of speech sounds in both amplitude and frequency domain is disturbed early in infancy in a subset of children (Choudhury and Benasich, 2011, Tallal, 2004). This deviation from normality results in LLD, such as dyslexia and language impairments (Bush, 2010, Lewis and Elman, 2008), sharing also a common spectrum with autism (Whitehouse et al., 2008). Electrophysiological and behavioural studies at infants and newborns demonstrated that differences of rapid auditory processing can be identified even in newborns with both familiar or genetic risk for LLD (Friedrich et al., 2004). Approximately, 30-60 % of infants with familiar risk for LLD are at high risk of developing learning disorders (Flax et al., 2003; Tomblin, 1989).

Previous neuroimaging studies have linked LLDs with brain structural alterations even before birth (Chu et al., 2015, Leonard et al., 2011). In those cases where a genetic risk for LLD was identified, anatomical differences are a cofactor to LLD (Choudhury and Benasich, 2011, Wong et al., 2013). To reveal potential biomarkers of developmental disorders in infants linked to higher genetic risk of LLD, longitudinal studies from the early infancy till the first five years of age have been conducted (for review see Benasich and Choudhury, 2012). Behavioral tests cannot provide neuroscientists with reliable biomarkers and for that reason neuroimaging approaches have been used in infant populations (Choudhury and Benasich, 2011, Maitre et al., 2013).

One of the very first studies that extended behavioural results to electrophysiological (EEG) measurements in infant group demonstrated significant correlations between the EEG estimated recorded at six months to the language outcome at twenty-four months in both groups of familiar (FH+) and non familiar history (FH-) (Benasich et al., 2006). Group differences were

observed on EEG measurements to the rapid presentation of deviant tone sequences (100 ms interval) but not to the same sequences of tones that were presented slowly (300 ms interval). Topological differences were observed mainly over frontal, central and fronto-central brain areas on the left hemisphere (see Figure 6 in Benasich et al., 2006).

In the last five years, a few studies appeared that attempted to improve event-related potential (ERP) recordings from both magnetoencephalography (MEG) and EEG focusing on studying populations at-risk for the development of LLD (Barttfeld et al., 2011, Bosl et al., 2011) and infants at risk for autism (Stahl et al., 2012). The basic approach of averaging across trials at ERP studies in order to extract the amplitude and the latency at specific time instances with negative or positive polarity (N100, P300 etc) are not reliable in order to define robust biomarkers due to the variability of cognitive processes that can potentially alter the ERP components (Stets et al., 2012). Additionally, developmental studies with infants have an issue with artifacts due to movement of the participants during the recording session and in many cases a large part of the subjects need to be excluded from the whole analysis.

Other studies analysed spontaneous EEG activity at the source level to detect predictors in populations early diagnosed with LLD (Heim and Benasich, 2011; Schiavone et al., 2014) or in other populations at risk (Gou et al., 2011). To extract meaningful features in order to discriminate the two populations (control vs target), wavelet analyses or Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) have been performed. This approach, even though it is more informative compared to amplitude and latency measurements of ERPs at specific scalp locations, cannot improve the statistical power to the level of introducing a reliable biomarker for any target group, e.g. LLD.

To solve all of the aforementioned issues regarding the prediction of a target group, classifiers have been built using EEG discriminative features to differentiate risk groups for a

specific disorder (Stahl et al., 2012). Employing machine-learning classifiers as an automatic separation strategy have been already proposed as a diagnostic procedure (Riaz et al., 2013). A recent study attempted to detect significant changes on the functional brain networks based on resting-state functional MRI between six and twelve months old groups of infants (Pruett et al., 2015). The hypothesis was that during the second six-month period, a dramatic transformation of social, motor and cognitive processes is realized. This study provided fundamental information that this period of life holds important information that can be linked to atypical development of social abilities (Elison et al., 2013) and to LLD (Zare et al., 2016 this issue).

A recent EEG study introduced an automatic classification approach based on network connectivity analysis and machine learning to firstly facilitate a framework for detecting infants at high familiar risk for LLD and secondly to provide features that can build a biomarker for the early detection of developmental disorders linked to language acquisition (Zare et al., 2016 this issue). The authors followed a network connectivity approach by first estimating a functional connectivity graph (FCG) derived from sixty two EEG (electroencephalogram) sensors during a resting-state condition and afterward by computing global efficiency and global/local clustering over original FCG and leaf / tree hierarchy indexes estimated over the unbiased unique Minimal Spanning Tree (MST) for each of the six studying frequency bands. Features extracted from the original FCG and the MST were complementary and further improved the classification accuracy to correctly classify FH+ and FH- around 80 % with specificity 89% and precision of 92 %. Global efficiency showed a decreased profile for FH+ in δ , θ and α_1 while clustering coefficient demonstrated a mixed pattern for δ , θ , α_1 and α_2 . Interestingly, leaf and tree hierarchy were significantly higher for FH+ in δ band, suggesting more hierarchical brain networks for FH+ which can be interpreted as slower and inefficient information flow across the brain compared to FH-.

MST has been used as an alternative method that overcomes thresholding problems. Given a connected, undirected weighted graph, a spanning tree of the graph is a subgraph that is a tree and connects all the vertices together by minimizing the overall cost (Stam et al., 2014 ; Vourkas et al., 2014). MST will favour connections with high coupling strength but always supporting the objective criterion of connect all the nodes without introducing cycles. For that reason, a MST for a specific FCG can combine connections with a large range of strength. MST has already been used to EEG to detect network connectivity changes in children with math difficulties (Vourkas et al., 2014), through the development (Boersma et al., 2014), but this study is the first one that applied this unbiased method to infants (Zare et al., 2016 this issue). Features tailored to MST are the leaf number which is the percentage of nodes with only one link (e.g degree 1) while tree hierarchy is defined as the ratio of leaf number/(2mBC) where m denotes the number of edges in the MST and BC the highest betweenness centrality of any node in the MST.

To conclude, this study is the first one that combined machine learning techniques with EEG-based brain network analysis via the notion of MST to infants with main scope to discriminate children with a family history of LLD (FH+) from typically-developing infants without such a history (FH-) (Zare et al., 2016 this issue). At this developmental key time point, facilitation of a state of the art technique to distinguish those two groups is important due to the brain plasticity which is more flexible for intervention approaches. To further validate and improve the current analysis, a larger developmental data sets should be analysed by incorporating to the whole approach various functional connectivity estimators and also by adopting a dynamic functional connectivity analysis (Dimitriadis et al., 2010,2013,2015a,b, 2016).

Conflict of interest

The author has no potential conflicts of interest to be disclosed.

References

- Barttfeld P, Wicker B, Cukier S, Navarta S, Lew S, Sigman M. A bigworld network in ASD: dynamical connectivity analysis reflects a deficit in long-range connections and an excess of short-range connections. *Neuropsychologia*, 2011;49:254–263.
- Beitchman JH, Nair R, Clegg M, Ferguson B, Patel PG. Prevalence of psychiatric disorders in children with speech and language disorders. *J. Am. Acad. Child Psychiatry* 1986;25:528–535.
- Benasich AA, Choudhury N, Friedman JT, Realpe-Bonilla T, Chojnowska C, Gou Z. The infant as a prelinguistic model for language learning impairments: predicting from event-related potentials to behavior. *Neuropsychologia* 2006;44, 396–411
- Benasich AA, Choudhury N. Timing, information processing and efficacy: early factors that impact childhood language trajectories. In: Benasich, A., Fitch, R., editors. *Developmental dyslexia: Early precursors, neurobehavioral markers and biological substrates (The Extraordinary Brain Series)*, 2012;99–118. Baltimore, MD: Brookes Publishing Co, USA.
- Bishop DV, Snowling MJ. Developmental dyslexia and specific language impairment: same or different? *Psychol. Bull.* 2004;130, 858–886.
- Boersma M, Smit D, Boomsma D, Geus E, Delemarre-van de Waal H, Stam C. Growing trees in child brains: graph theoretical analysis of EEG derived minimum spanning tree in 5 and 7 year old children reflects brain maturation. *Brain Connect*, 2014;3:50–60.
- Bosl W, Tierney A, Tager-Flusberg H, Nelson C. EEG complexity as a biomarker for autism spectrum disorder risk. *BMC Medicine*, 2011;9:18.
- Bush G. Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder and attention networks. *Neuropsychopharmacology*, 2010;35:278–300.
- Catts HW, Fey ME, Tomblin JB, Zhang X. A longitudinal investigation of reading outcomes in children with language impairments. *J. Speech Lang.Hear. Res.* 2002;45,1142–1157.
- Choudhury N, Benasich AA. Maturation of auditory evoked potentials from 6 to 48 months: Prediction to 3 and 4 year language and cognitive abilities. *Clin. Neurophysiol.*, 2011;122:320–338.

Chu C, Tanaka N, Diaz J, Edlow BOW, Hämäläinen M, Stufflebeam S, Cash S, Kramer M. EEG functional connectivity is partially predicted by underlying white matter connectivity. *NeuroImage*, 2015;108:23–33.

Clark J, Yallop C (1995), *An Introduction to Phonetics and Phonology* (2 ed.), Blackwell, ISBN 978-0-631-19452-1

Dimitriadis SI, Laskaris NA, Tsirka V, Vourkas M, Micheloyannis S, Fotopoulos S. Tracking brain dynamics via time-dependent network analysis. *J. Neurosci. Methods* 2010;193:145-155.

Dimitriadis SI, Laskaris NA, Tzelepi A. On the quantization of time-varying phase synchrony patterns into distinct Functional Connectivity Microstates (FCμstates) in a multi-trial visual ERP paradigm. 2013;26(3):397-409

Dimitriadis SI, Laskaris NA, Micheloyannis S. Transition dynamics of EEG-based network microstates during mental arithmetic and resting wakefulness reflects task-related modulations and developmental changes. *Cogn. Neurodyn.* 2015a;9:371-387.

Dimitriadis SI, Laskaris NA, Bitzidou MP, Tarnanas I and Tsolaki MN . A novel biomarker of amnesic MCI based on dynamic cross-frequency coupling patterns during cognitive brain responses. *Front. Neurosci.* 2015b;9:350.

Dimitriadis SI, Laskaris NA, Simos PG, Fletcher JM and Papanicolaou AC. Greater repertoire and temporal variability of cross-frequency coupling (CFC) modes in resting-state neuromagnetic recordings among children with reading difficulties. *Front. Hum. Neurosci.* 2016;10:163. doi: 10.3389/fnhum.2016.00163

Elison J, Wolff J, Heimer J, Paterson S, Gu H, Hazlett H, Styner M, Gerig G, Piven J. Frontolimbic neural circuitry at 6 months predicts individual differences in joint attention at 9 months. *Dev Sci*, 2013;70:955–965

Farmer ME, Klein RM. The evidence for a temporal processing deficit linked to dyslexia: a review. *Psychonomic Bull. Rev.* 1995;2:460–493.

Fitch RH, Tallal P. Neural mechanisms of language based learning impairments: insights from human populations and animal models. *Behav. Cogn. Neurosci. Rev.* 2013;2:155–178.

Flax J, Realpe-Bonilla T, Hirsch L, Brzustowicz L, Bartlett C, a Tallal P. Specific language impairment in families: evidence for co-occurrence with reading impairments. *J. Speech Lang. Hear. Res.*, 2003;46:530–543.

Friedrich M, Weber C, Friederici AD. Electrophysiological evidence for delayed mismatch response in infants at-risk for specific language impairment. *Psychophysiology* 2004;41:772–782.

Gou Z, Choudhury N, Benasich AA. Resting frontal gamma power at 16, 24 and 36 months predicts individual differences in language and cognition at 4 and 5 years. *Behav. Brain Res.*, 2011;220:263–270.

- Hebb DO (1949) *The Organization of Behavior: A Neuropsychological Theory*, Wiley
- Heim S, Benasich AA. Reduced sensory oscillatory activity during rapid auditory processing as a correlate of language-learning impairment. *J. Neurolinguist.* 2011;24(5):539-555.
- Leonard C, Low P, Jonczak E, Schmutz K, Siegel L, Beaulieu C. Anatomy, processing speed and reading in children. *Dev Neuropsychol*, 2011;36:828–846.
- Leppanen PH, Richardson U, Pihko E, Eklund KM, Guttorm TK, Aro M, Lyytinen H. Brain responses to changes in speech sound duration differ between infants with and without familial risk for dyslexia. *Dev. Neuropsychol.* 2002;22:407–422
- Lewis J, Elman J. Growth-related neural reorganization and the autism phenotype: A test of the hypothesis that altered brain growth leads to altered connectivity. *Dev. Sci.*, 2008;11:135–155.
- Livingstone MS, Rosen GD, Drislane FW, AM. Physiological and anatomical evidence for magnocellular defect in developmental dyslexia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1991;88:7943–7947
- Lyytinen H. Aro M, Eklund K, Erskine J, Guttorm T, Laakso ML, Leppänen PH, Lyytinen P, Poikkeus AM, Torppa M. The development of children at familial risk for dyslexia: birth to early school age. *Ann. Dyslexia* 2004;54:184–220.
- Kuhl PK, Williams KA, Lacerda F, Stevens KN, Lindblom B. Linguistic experience alters phonetic perception in infants by 6 months of age. *Science* 1992;255:606–608
- Maitre N, Lambert W, Aschner J, Key A. Cortical speech sound differentiation in the neonatal intensive care unit predicts cognitive and language development in the first 2 years of life. *Dev Med Child Neurol*, 2013;55:834–839.
- Mody M, Studdert-Kennedy M, Brady S. Speech perception deficits in poor readers: auditory processing or phonological coding. *J. Exp. Child Psychol.* 1997;64:199–231.
- Peeva MG, Guenther FH, Tourville JA, Nieto-Castanon A, Anton JL, Nazarian B, Alario FX. Distinct representations of phonemes, syllables, and supra-syllabic sequences in the speech production network. *Neuroimage*. 2010 ;50(2): 626–638.
- Pruett, J. R., Kandala, S., Hoertel, S., Snyder, A. Z., Elison, J. T., Nishino, T., and Network, I. (2015). Accurate age classification of 6 and 12 month-old infants based on resting-state functional connectivity magnetic resonance imaging data. *Dev Cogn Neurosci*, 12:123–133.

- Ramus F. Developmental dyslexia: specific phonological deficit or general sensorimotor dysfunction? *Curr. Opin. Neurobiol.* 2003;13:212–218 .
- Rao RP, Sejnowski TJ. Self-organizing neural systems based on predictive learning. *Philos. Transact. A. Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 2003;361:1149–1175.
- Riaz F, Hassan A, Rehman S, Niazi IK, Dremstrup K. EMD based temporal and spectral features for the classification of EEG signals using supervised learning. *IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng*, 2013;2:107–124.
- Schiavone G, Linkenkaer-Hansen K, Maurits NM, Plakas A, Maassen BA, Mansvelder HD, van Zuijlen TL. Preliteracy signatures of poor reading abilities in resting-state EEG. *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2014;8:735
- Sejnowski T. The book of Hebb. *Neuron* 1999;24:773–776
- Stahl D, Pickles A, Elsabbagh M, Johnson M. Novel machine learning methods for erp analysis: A validation from research on infants at risk for autism. *Dev. Neuropsychol*, 2012;37:274-298.
- Stam CJ, Tewarie P, Van Dellen E, van Straaten EC, Hillebrand A, Van Mieghem P. The trees and the forest: Characterization of complex brain networks with minimum spanning trees. *Int J Psychophysiol.* 2014;92: 129-38.
- Stein J. The magnocellular theory of developmental dyslexia. *Dyslexia* 2001;7:12-36
- Stets M, Stahl D, Reid VM . A meta-analysis investigating factors underlying attrition rates in infant erp studies. *Dev. Neuropsychol*, 2012;37:226–252.
- Tallal P. Improving language and literacy is a matter of time. *Nat. Rev. Neurosci.* 2004;5:721–728.
- Tallal P, Gaab N. Dynamic auditory processing, musical experience and language development. *TRENDS in Neurosciences* Vol.29 No.7 July 2006;29(7):382-390.
- Tomblin JB. Familial concentration of developmental language impairment. *J Speech and Hear Disord.* 1989;54:267-295.
- Vourkas M, Karakonstantaki E, Simos PG, Tsirka V, Antonakakis M, Vamvoukas M, Stam C, Dimitriadis S, Micheloyannis S. Simple and difficult mathematics in children: a minimum spanning tree EEG network analysis. *Neurosci Lett.* 2014;576:28-33.
- Whitehouse AJ, Barry JG, Bishop DV. Further defining the language impairment of autism: is there a specific language impairment subtype? *J Commun Disord*, 2008;41: 319-336.
- Wong PC, Ettlinger M, Zheng J. Linguistic grammar learning and DRD2- TAQ-IA polymorphism. *PLoS One*, 2013;8:e64983.

Zare M, Rezvani Z, Benasich AA. Automatic Classification of 6-month-old Infants at Familial Risk for Language-based Learning Disorder Using a Support Vector Machine. Clin Neurophysiol 2016, This Issue.

Corresponding author:

Dimitriadis Stavros I.

¹Artificial Intelligence and Information Analysis Laboratory, Department of Informatics,
Aristotle University, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece

²NeuroInformatics Group, AUTH, Thessaloniki, Greece

e-mail: stidimitriadis@gmail.com

Mobile: +30-6944834186